Ayush Paul
Student, Christ Deemed to be University, Pune Lavasa Campus, Maharashtra, India

The dual edge of digital belonging: Community, connection, and the risk of isolation

Ayush Paul

Abstract
In today's hyperconnected digital era, online communities ranging from niche subcultures and fandoms to broad social media platforms have become central to the formation of personal identity and social belonging. The Dual Edge of Digital Belonging: Community, Connection, and the Risk of Isolation explores the complex interplay between the psychological benefits of these digital interactions and their potential hazards. This paper examines how digital environments foster supportive networks that enhance self-esteem and identity validation while simultaneously endangering risks such as exclusion, superficial relationships, and pervasive isolation. Furthermore, it investigates the legal frameworks that govern online interactions, offering a comprehensive analysis of how current legislative measures and regulatory policies both mitigate and exacerbate these challenges. Drawing upon established psychological theories most notably Social Identity Theory and Parasocial Interaction Theory this study highlights that digital communities provide a platform for self-expression and social validation, particularly for individuals who may be marginalized in traditional offline contexts. However, these benefits are counterbalanced by the inherent risks of such environments. For example, digital spaces often give rise to echo chambers and gatekeeping practices, where in-group dynamics lead to the exclusion of dissenting voices and the reinforcement of existing biases. Central to this investigation is the phenomenon of parasocial relationships one-sided emotional bonds that individuals form with online figures such as influencers, streamers, and celebrities. While these relationships can offer solace and motivation for individuals facing loneliness or social anxiety, they also have the potential to create unrealistic expectations and emotional dependencies. The one-dimensional nature of parasocial interactions lacks reciprocal engagement, making users vulnerable to emotional distress when their idealized digital relationships do not translate into tangible support or recognition. This dual nature of parasocial bonds, which simultaneously provides comfort and introduces risk, is a focal point of the paper's inquiry into the psychological ramifications of digital engagement. In addition to the psychological dimensions, this research critically examines the legalities associated with online interactions. This mixed-method approach ensures a robust examination of the multifaceted nature of digital belonging and supports the development of evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, platform designers, and community managers. The findings of this research underscore the paradox at the heart of digital engagement. On one hand, online communities serve as crucial avenues for social support, knowledge sharing, and self-expression, empowering users to forge identities and relationships that may be unattainable in their offline lives. This empowerment is particularly significant for marginalized groups who often face systemic barriers in traditional social structures. On the other hand, the same platforms that facilitate connectivity can also contribute to a pervasive sense of isolation. The superficiality of many online interactions, combined with the pressures of constant social comparison and the prevalence of echo chambers, creates an environment where genuine emotional fulfilment is often elusive.

Keywords: Digital belonging, online communities, social identity theory, parasocial interaction, psychological well-being, social isolation, legal frameworks, digital engagement

Introduction
In an era marked by rapid technological advancements and the ubiquity of digital media, online communities have emerged as vital arenas for human interaction, self-expression, and social validation. Over the past two decades, digital platforms have transformed the way people connect, communicate, and construct their identities, transcending the limitations of geographic boundaries and traditional social structures [1]. This paper delves into the complex

Corresponding Author:
Ayush Paul
Student, Christ Deemed to be University, Pune Lavasa Campus, Maharashtra, India

interplay between the psychological benefits of digital interactions and the potential hazards they entail, including exclusion, superficial relationships, and pervasive isolation [2]. Digital Belonging is a multifaceted concept that refers to the sense of identity, inclusion, and emotional security that individuals experience when they participate in online communities [3]. It is a form of social capital that is built through repeated digital interactions, shared experiences, and the collective validation of individual identities [4]. The digital realm provides a unique environment where marginalized individuals or those with niche interests can find like-minded peers, thus overcoming the limitations and biases often encountered in offline settings. Online Subcultures are distinct groups formed around shared interests, lifestyles, or ideologies that might be underrepresented or misunderstood in mainstream society. These communities often develop their own norms, languages, and practices, creating spaces where members can express themselves authentically without fear of judgment [5]. For instance, communities on platforms like Reddit or Discord frequently cater to niche hobbies, alternative lifestyles, or emerging social movements, offering a sense of unity and identity to their members. Fandoms represent another critical dimension of digital belonging. They are communities built around a collective enthusiasm for a particular cultural artefact be it a television series, musical artist, or literary work [6]. Fandoms are characterized by intense emotional investments and participatory cultures, where members not only consume content but also contribute to it through fan art, fiction, and online discussions. These communities provide a dual function: they offer both a space for creative expression and a platform for forging deep social connections.

Digital communities have revolutionized traditional paradigms of human interaction. Historically, social engagement was largely confined to face-to-face interactions within localized, geographically bound settings. However, the advent of digital communication technologies has redefined these boundaries, enabling people to interact with others across vast distances and cultural divides. The transformation is evident in several key areas:

1. **Global Connectivity:** Digital platforms have bridged cultural and geographic divides, allowing individuals to build networks with others who share their interests, regardless of physical location. This global connectivity fosters a rich diversity of perspectives and experiences, enhancing the quality of discourse and broadening social horizons [7].

2. **Accessibility and Inclusivity:** For many individuals, particularly those from marginalized or underrepresented groups, online communities offer an accessible and non-judgmental space for self-expression. As highlighted in Sherry Turkle's seminal work, Alone Together, digital interactions can empower individuals by providing them with a voice and a sense of belonging that may be denied in the offline world [8].

3. **New Modes of Interaction:** Digital communities facilitate novel forms of interaction that blend asynchronous communication (e.g., forum posts, social media comments) with synchronous exchanges (e.g., live streams, video calls). This versatility in communication formats has enriched the ways in which social bonds are formed and maintained, though it also introduces new challenges in ensuring depth and authenticity in these interactions [9].

Despite these benefits, the digital transformation of human interaction is not without its paradoxes. The most striking of these is the question: Can a hyperconnected world lead to loneliness? While digital platforms ostensibly bring people together, there is growing evidence that they can also contribute to feelings of isolation [10]. The nature of online interactions often brief, curated, and lacking in genuine emotional reciprocity can sometimes result in a superficial sense of connection [11]. Users may feel inundated with notifications, likes, and comments, yet still struggle with deep, meaningful relationships. This paradox is particularly salient in the context of social media [12], where the pressure to maintain an idealized self-image can exacerbate feelings of inadequacy and disconnection.

To address the multifaceted nature of digital belonging and its inherent contradictions, this study is guided by three primary research questions:

1. **How do online communities foster a sense of belonging?**
   This question examines the mechanisms through which digital environments facilitate identity formation and emotional support. Drawing on Social Identity Theory, the study investigates how group dynamics, shared interests, and collective validation contribute to a robust sense of belonging among online community members.

2. **What psychological risks do digital interactions pose?**
   While digital communities offer significant benefits, they also present risks such as the development of superficial relationships, the emergence of parasocial bonds, and the inadvertent promotion of exclusionary practices. This inquiry will explore the psychological underpinnings that make users vulnerable to these risks, drawing on empirical research published in journals like *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*.

3. **How can individuals and platforms create healthier digital spaces?**
   Addressing the dual nature of digital belonging requires an exploration of strategies that promote balanced digital engagement. This includes evaluating the role of platform design, community moderation, and legal frameworks in mitigating adverse outcomes while enhancing the positive aspects of online interactions [13]. This research question also considers insights from studies conducted by institutions such as the Pew Research Centre on digital engagement trends.

Given the complexity of digital belonging, a multidisciplinary approach is essential. This paper integrates theoretical perspectives from psychology, empirical evidence from sociology, and critical analyses of legal frameworks to provide a holistic view of the phenomenon [14]. The convergence of these disciplines allows for a nuanced exploration of how digital communities both empower and isolate their users. For example, Social Identity Theory helps explain why individuals gravitate

toward online subcultures and fandoms, while Parasocial Interaction Theory sheds light on the nature of one-sided emotional bonds with online figures. At the same time, legal analyses grounded in case studies and legislative reviews illuminate how data privacy laws, free speech protections, and platform liability statutes shape the digital landscape [15]. These legal frameworks, while designed to protect users and foster safe online spaces, sometimes create unintended consequences that limit research accessibility or enable harmful behaviours under the guise of free expression [16].

The significance of this research lies in its potential to inform both academic understanding and practical policy-making [17]. In an age where digital interactions increasingly define personal and collective identities, it is imperative to understand not only the benefits but also the risks associated with online engagement. By elucidating the psychological dynamics and legal intricacies of digital belonging [18], this study aims to offer actionable insights for policymakers, platform developers, and community managers who seek to cultivate healthier, more inclusive online environments. Moreover, the current societal debates surrounding digital well-being and data privacy underscore the timeliness of this research [19]. As governments and regulatory bodies grapple with the challenges posed by digital platforms, a clearer understanding of the interplay between social and legal factors is crucial for developing balanced interventions that protect users without stifling innovation or free expression. The initial sections define key concepts and contextualize the digital transformation of human interaction. Subsequent sections delve into the psychological mechanisms underlying online community dynamics, with a particular focus on how digital platforms both support and undermine emotional well-being [20]. A dedicated segment critically evaluates the legal frameworks governing digital interactions, discussing how policies aimed at protecting users can sometimes lead to unintended negative consequences. Finally, the paper concludes with a set of evidence-based recommendations designed to foster healthier digital spaces, drawing on insights from diverse fields including psychology, sociology, and law.

Throughout this investigation, primary sources such as Sherry Turkle's *Alone Together* [21], peer-reviewed articles from *Cyberpsychology, Behaviour, and Social Networking* [22], and empirical reports from the Pew Research Centre provide the foundation for analysis. These sources offer robust theoretical and empirical support, ensuring that the study is well-grounded in current scholarly discourse and reflective of contemporary digital realities. The evolution of digital communities has redefined the landscape of human interaction, creating both unprecedented opportunities for connection and significant challenges that warrant careful scrutiny. As digital spaces continue to expand and evolve, understanding the dual nature of digital belonging its capacity to both empower and isolate becomes increasingly critical [23]. Through an in-depth exploration of the psychological and legal dimensions of online engagement, this paper seeks to shed light on the paradox of hyperconnectivity in the modern age and to offer practical solutions for mitigating its risks while enhancing its benefits [24].

By examining the transformative impact of digital subcultures, fandoms, and parasocial relationships [25], this research contributes to a deeper understanding of how online communities shape contemporary social life. It also provides a framework for future studies and policy initiatives aimed at creating a more balanced and inclusive digital ecosystem.

Online Communities and Digital Subcultures

The rise of the internet has fostered an unprecedented proliferation of online communities, giving rise to vibrant digital subcultures that have reshaped how people interact, learn, and express themselves. This section explores the growth of online communities, examines the psychological role of digital belonging through the lens of social identity theory, and highlights the positive effects these communities offer [26], such as knowledge sharing, activism, and mental health support. The evolution of online platforms has dramatically altered the landscape of social interaction. Platforms such as Reddit, Discord, Twitter, and various gaming forums serve as prime examples of how digital communities have expanded in both size and influence [27]. These platforms cater to a wide spectrum of interests, from mainstream hobbies to highly niche topics, fostering a diversity of subcultures that were once only possible in localized, offline settings.

Reddit functions as a vast network of "subreddits," each dedicated to a particular interest or community [28]. This decentralized structure allows users to congregate around topics ranging from science and technology to memes and niche hobbies [29]. Similarly, Discord provides real-time communication channels that blend text, voice, and video, enabling users to form tight-knit communities around shared passions be it gaming, creative pursuits, or professional interests.

Twitter fandoms have also emerged as significant social phenomena, where fans of celebrities, television shows, or music engage in rapid, real-time discussions that often influence mainstream media trends. Gaming forums and communities, hosted on platforms [30] like Steam or dedicated gaming websites, further illustrate how virtual spaces can serve as sanctuaries for enthusiasts to share strategies, create fan art, and even organize real-life meetups. These communities not only allow for the dissemination of information and ideas but also create a sense of collective identity among participants. The growth of these online communities is closely tied to advancements in communication technology and the democratization of content creation. With the barrier to entry significantly lowered, individuals from diverse backgrounds can contribute to and shape these digital environments. This has led to an explosion of participatory culture, as described by Henry Jenkins, where consumers become active participants in the creation and circulation of content (Jenkins, 2006) [31]. The open nature of these platforms encourages collaboration and co-creation, empowering users to build rich, interconnected networks that transcend traditional social and geographic boundaries [32].

At the core of digital communities lies the concept of digital belonging the sense of inclusion, identity, and validation that individuals derive from participating in online spaces. Social Identity Theory posits that a significant part of an individual's self-concept is derived from their group memberships (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) [33]. Online communities provide fertile ground for the formation of these social identities by offering spaces where individuals can express their interests, values, and experiences without the constraints often imposed by offline societal norms [34].

Digital platforms allow for a high degree of personalization, enabling users to curate their online personas through profiles, posts, and multimedia content. This curation is not merely superficial [35]; it provides individuals with an avenue to explore and express various facets of their identities [36]. For instance, members of niche subcultures or fandoms often adopt unique terminologies, symbols, and rituals that reinforce a shared sense of identity and solidarity. This collective identity is reinforced by constant interaction and feedback from other members, which in turn bolsters self-esteem and a sense of validation [37].

Beyond identity formation, digital communities serve as critical support networks, especially for individuals who feel isolated or marginalized in their offline lives. For many, online communities offer a level of empathy and understanding that may be lacking in traditional social [38] circles. Forums dedicated to mental health, for example, provide safe spaces where users can share personal experiences, seek advice, and receive emotional support. The anonymity provided by online platforms further encourages honest and vulnerable communication, fostering deeper connections among users [39]. The role of these support networks is well-documented in studies published in the Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication. Research indicates that active participation in online communities is associated with enhanced emotional well-being and a stronger sense of belonging, particularly for those who have experienced social exclusion in offline settings [40]. This phenomenon is especially significant for individuals belonging to minority or stigmatized groups, who often find acceptance and validation in online subcultures that celebrate diversity and alternative perspectives. However, the process of digital self-expression is not without its complications. While the freedom to construct one's identity can be empowering, it also carries the risk of fragmentation or inauthenticity [41]. The pressure to conform to community norms whether through the adoption of specific jargon or engagement in popular trends can sometimes lead to a homogenized digital identity that suppresses individual uniqueness. Despite this, the overall psychological benefits of digital belonging, particularly the opportunities for self-exploration and communal validation, far outweigh the potential drawbacks [42].

The positive impacts of digital communities extend beyond personal identity and social support. These online spaces have become critical arenas for knowledge sharing, activism, and mental health support [43], providing tangible benefits that influence both individual lives and broader societal trends. Online communities are fertile grounds for the exchange of information and collaborative learning. Platforms like Reddit and Discord host countless subcommunities where members discuss everything from academic research to practical DIY projects. These discussions often lead to the pooling of collective knowledge, where the combined expertise of community members surpasses that of any single contributor. This democratization of information not only enhances individual learning but also contributes to a more informed and engaged citizenry.

The participatory nature of these communities central to Jenkins' [44] notion of participatory culture enables users to contribute, critique, and build upon shared knowledge in a dynamic, interactive manner [45]. This mode of engagement contrasts sharply with traditional, hierarchical models of education and information dissemination, promoting a more egalitarian approach to learning and discovery [46]. In addition to fostering intellectual growth, online communities have proven to be powerful catalysts for social and political activism [47]. Social media platforms and forums provide organizers with the tools to mobilize large groups rapidly, coordinate actions, and amplify underrepresented voices. Digital activism has been instrumental in raising awareness about critical issues such as climate change, social justice, and political corruption [48]. Hashtag campaigns on Twitter, for example, have brought global attention to local injustices, while online petitions and crowdfunding initiatives have translated virtual solidarity into tangible change. The ability of digital communities to galvanize activism is underpinned by their capacity for rapid information dissemination and collective organization. This has led to numerous instances where online campaigns have precipitated significant policy changes or spurred real-world protests. The inclusive nature of digital activism allows individuals from diverse backgrounds to participate in the fight for social justice, thereby fostering a more democratic and participatory political process [49]. Perhaps one of the most vital contributions of online communities is their role in supporting mental health. In an age where stigma and misunderstanding around mental health persist, digital platforms offer a unique space for individuals to seek help and share their experiences without fear of judgment [50]. Numerous online forums and support groups are dedicated to mental health issues, where members provide each other with empathy, advice, and resources to cope with challenges such as anxiety, depression, and trauma.

Research has shown that participation in these support communities can lead to significant improvements in mental health outcomes. The continuous and accessible support provided by these groups can help reduce feelings of isolation, promote resilience, and even serve as an early intervention for those struggling with mental health issues [51]. Importantly, the anonymity and flexibility of online interactions lower the barriers to seeking help, making these communities an indispensable resource for individuals who may otherwise be reluctant to engage in traditional therapeutic settings. The dynamics of online communities and digital subcultures underscore a broader transformation in how modern societies communicate and engage. The work of Henry Jenkins on participatory culture highlights that the traditional boundaries between producers and consumers of content are increasingly blurred [52]. In this new paradigm, every user becomes a contributor, shaping the cultural landscape through their interactions and creations. This shift not only democratizes content creation but also redefines what it means to be part of a community in the digital age [53].

The synthesis of these perspectives reveals that while online communities present certain risks such as potential isolation or echo chamber effects their overall contribution to knowledge sharing, activism, and mental health support is profound [54]. The ability of digital subcultures to unite individuals around common interests and goals not only enriches personal lives but also empowers communities to drive social change on a larger scale [55].

The growth and evolution of online communities have fundamentally reshaped the fabric of modern social interaction. Platforms such as Reddit, Discord, Twitter, and gaming forums exemplify the dynamic nature of digital

subcultures, where individuals find belonging, express their identities, and forge support networks that transcend traditional boundaries. The psychological underpinnings of digital belonging rooted in social identity theory highlight the profound impact of these interactions on personal well-being and collective identity [56].

The Psychology of Parasocial Relationships

Parasocial relationships represent one of the most intriguing phenomena of contemporary media consumption. With the proliferation of digital platforms, these one-sided bonds between audiences and media figures have evolved dramatically, influencing both personal identity and social behaviour. In this section, we explore the definition and evolution of parasocial relationships, examine their positive and negative aspects, and illustrate these dynamics through case studies from modern digital communities. We also ground our discussion in seminal theories, such as Horton and Wohl's (1956) concept of parasocial interaction [57], and insights from the field of popular media psychology [58]. Parasocial relationships are defined as one-sided emotional bonds where an audience member forms a deep attachment to a media personality, celebrity, or online figure without any reciprocal interaction [59]. Unlike traditional interpersonal relationships, these connections are asymmetrical while the audience may feel a profound connection, the media figure remains unaware of the individual's existence [60]. Originally observed in the context of television personalities, these relationships have since expanded to include influencers, streamers, YouTube creators, and even K-pop idols.

The origins of parasocial interaction can be traced back to early television studies, with Horton and Wohl's (1956) [61] pioneering work providing the foundational framework. They posited that viewers develop intimate, quasi-relationships with on-screen figures, treating them as friends or confidants despite the absence of genuine two-way interaction. Over the decades, as media technologies have advanced, so too have the modalities and intensities of these relationships.

With the advent of social media and video-sharing platforms, the dynamics of parasocial relationships have transformed. Influencers and content creators now engage in platforms where the lines between personal and public personas blur [62]. Social media algorithms and constant content updates ensure that these figures are omnipresent in their followers' lives. For example, YouTube creators may regularly post vlogs, interact with comments, and share personal stories, thereby reinforcing the illusion of intimacy and accessibility [63]. Similarly, K-pop idols are promoted not only through music and choreography but also via controlled glimpses into their personal lives on reality shows, social media posts, and fan interactions, fostering a powerful sense of connection among their global fanbases. This evolution is significant because it demonstrates how technological advancements have amplified the reach and intensity of parasocial bonds [64]. In an increasingly digital age, these relationships are no longer confined to passive television viewing; they have become active and emotionally charged experiences that impact individuals' self-concepts and behaviours. While parasocial relationships are inherently one-sided, they can offer several positive psychological benefits, particularly in terms of emotional support, motivation, and identity development [65].

For many individuals, parasocial relationships serve as an important source of comfort and reassurance. In times of loneliness or distress, the constant presence of a favourite influencer or celebrity can provide a sense of stability and security. The reliability of these media figures often seen as unchanging and perpetually positive offers a form of emotional refuge, especially for those who feel isolated in their offline lives. Research in the Psychology of Popular Media Culture [66] suggests that these relationships can act as a buffer against stress, providing emotional support that may otherwise be lacking in personal relationships. Parasocial bonds can also be a wellspring of motivation and inspiration [67]. Many followers look up to influencers, streamers, or celebrities as role models who embody success, creativity, or resilience. This admiration can inspire individuals to pursue personal goals, develop new skills, or adopt healthier lifestyles. For instance, fitness influencers who share workout routines and motivational content can encourage their followers to engage in physical activity and improve their well-being. The aspirational aspect of parasocial relationships enables fans to project their hopes and dreams onto these figures, thereby fostering personal growth and achievement. Another important positive aspect is the role of parasocial relationships in identity development. In the formative stages of personal identity, individuals often experiment with various self-concepts by emulating admired figures. Parasocial interactions provide a safe and accessible way for individuals to explore different facets of their personalities [68] [69]. For example, a young person who idolizes a charismatic YouTuber might adopt certain stylistic or behavioural traits as part of their evolving identity. This process can be particularly beneficial for individuals who are marginalized or who lack strong role models in their immediate environments. By aligning themselves with a media figure who represents qualities they aspire to, individuals can cultivate a more robust and positive self-image.

Despite their potential benefits, parasocial relationships also carry significant risks. The very qualities that make them appealing ease of access and emotional immediacy can also lead to problematic outcomes when the relationship becomes excessive or unbalanced. One of the most critical concerns is the development of dependency on parasocial relationships. When individuals rely too heavily on a media figure for emotional fulfilment, they may neglect the cultivation of real-life relationships. This dependency can lead to a cycle where the individual prioritizes digital interactions over tangible social bonds, potentially exacerbating feelings of loneliness and isolation [70]. In extreme cases, this dependency can manifest as an unhealthy obsession, where the individual's life becomes disproportionately centred around the media figure, resulting in diminished self-efficacy and personal autonomy. Parasocial relationships can also foster unrealistic expectations. Given that media figures often portray idealized versions of themselves, followers may develop distorted perceptions of what real-life relationships should entail [71].This can lead to dissatisfaction with personal relationships, as individuals compare the complex and sometimes messy reality of interpersonal interactions with the polished, curated personas seen online. The gap between these expectations and reality can result in emotional distress, as individuals grapple with feelings of inadequacy and disillusionment [72]. Moreover, the one-sided nature of

parasocial interactions inherently lacks the reciprocity that characterizes healthy relationships. When the media figure fails to meet the follower's emotional needs due to the impossibility of a genuine two-way interaction the resulting imbalance can trigger feelings of rejection or abandonment [73]. This emotional distress is particularly pronounced when a parasocial relationship is disrupted [74], such as when a media figure changes their content style, takes a hiatus, or faces public scandal [75]. The emotional fallout from such events can be significant, leaving followers feeling betrayed and disoriented. Overreliance on parasocial relationships may also impair the development of essential social skills. Engaging primarily in one-sided interactions limits opportunities for practicing effective communication, conflict resolution, and empathy in real-world settings. As a result, individuals may find themselves ill-equipped to navigate complex interpersonal dynamics, which can further isolate them and diminish the quality of their offline relationships.

To illustrate the multifaceted nature of parasocial relationships, it is instructive to consider several case studies and contemporary examples drawn from digital media.

YouTube offers a rich landscape for parasocial interactions. Many content creators, such as lifestyle vloggers or educational channels, cultivate loyal fanbases that feel deeply connected to the personalities behind the camera. For instance, consider a popular educational channel that explains scientific concepts in an engaging manner. Followers of this channel often express that the creator's explanations not only clarify complex topics but also instill a sense of personal connection and trust. However, this connection can become problematic if fans start to expect constant updates or personal engagement beyond what is realistically possible. The asymmetry of the relationship can leave fans feeling neglected or disappointed if the creator is unable to reciprocate their emotional investment.

K-pop fandoms provide another compelling example. K-pop idols are meticulously managed public figures whose images are crafted to maintain a sense of closeness with their fans. Through regular social media updates, fan meetings, and behind-the-scenes content, these idols create an aura of accessibility and intimacy. This fosters strong parasocial bonds, where fans not only admire the idols for their musical talent but also feel personally connected to their daily lives. While these relationships can boost fans' self-esteem and offer a shared sense of community, they can also lead to intense emotional investment. In some cases, fans may experience significant distress when an idol faces controversy, undergoes changes in their public persona, or becomes embroiled in conflicts with rival fan groups.

Live-streaming platforms like Twitch have revolutionized parasocial relationships by enabling real-time interactions between streamers and their audiences. Streamers often build tight-knit communities where viewers feel as if they are part of an ongoing, interactive narrative. The immediacy of live chats and the streamer's direct engagement create a powerful illusion of reciprocity, even though the relationship remains fundamentally one-sided. While this dynamic can foster strong community bonds and provide entertainment and emotional support, it can also lead to an unhealthy dependency. Viewers may begin to rely on the streamer for daily validation and social interaction, which can have adverse effects on their ability to form meaningful offline relationships.

The foundational work by Horton and Wohl (1956) on parasocial interaction remains a critical lens through which contemporary parasocial relationships can be understood [76]. Their research emphasized the psychological mechanisms by which audiences develop intimate connections with media figures, highlighting the roles of repetition, familiarity, and emotional engagement. Although media landscapes have evolved, the core principles remain relevant: individuals naturally seek connections, and media figures by virtue of their visibility and accessibility can become surrogate social partners. Modern research, as reflected in the *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, extends Horton and Wohl's ideas to explain the complex dynamics of today's media consumption [77]. Studies indicate that parasocial relationships are not merely passive phenomena but actively contribute to identity formation and emotional regulation. However, they also warn of the risks associated with these interactions, especially when they supplant genuine social connections. The field emphasizes the need for a balanced approach to media consumption, where parasocial interactions are seen as a complement to, rather than a replacement for, real-world relationships. The increasing prevalence of parasocial relationships has broader social implications. As individuals increasingly invest emotionally in media figures, there is a risk that public discourse and social behaviour may be unduly influenced by the personalities that dominate digital platforms. This raises questions about the accountability of media figures, the role of platforms in moderating content, and the psychological impact of sustained media exposure on society at large. Understanding these dynamics is essential not only for individual well-being but also for shaping policies and educational initiatives that promote healthy media habits. The psychology of parasocial relationships reveals a complex interplay of benefits and risks that mirror broader trends in contemporary media consumption. On the positive side, these relationships can provide emotional support, inspire personal growth, and aid in the development of identity, particularly for individuals who may lack strong real-life connections. However, the one-sided nature of these bonds also poses significant risks, including dependency, unrealistic expectations, and emotional distress, which can adversely impact both individual well-being and social functioning.

By drawing on the seminal work of Horton and Wohl (1956) and contemporary research in the Psychology of Popular Media Culture, this section has outlined how parasocial relationships have evolved in the digital age. Case studies from YouTube communities, K-pop fandoms, and Twitch streaming illustrate both the promise and peril of these interactions. As digital media continue to permeate everyday life, it is imperative [78] to foster awareness of both the positive potentials and the pitfalls of parasocial engagement. Such awareness can guide individuals, educators, and policymakers toward strategies that harness the benefits of digital media while mitigating its adverse effects.

In moving forward, further research is needed to explore how parasocial relationships interact with broader social dynamics and to develop interventions that support balanced media consumption. As we navigate an era characterized by increasingly blurred lines between reality and digital representation, understanding the psychology behind parasocial relationships remains a crucial endeavour for promoting mental health and fostering resilient communities in the digital age.

The Risks of Digital Belonging: Exclusion and Isolation

In the digital era, the promise of connection through online communities and digital belonging is tempered by significant risks that often undermine the very fabric of social cohesion, leading to profound exclusion and isolation. Digital platforms, with their algorithmic curation and personalized content feeds, frequently create echo chambers that intensify pre-existing beliefs by continuously exposing users to homogeneous viewpoints, thereby reducing the opportunity for genuine, diverse discourse. This phenomenon of echo chambers, further exacerbated by the psychological tendency toward confirmation bias, often fosters an environment in which groupthink prevails, as individuals become increasingly insulated within their digital bubbles, where dissenting opinions are not only scarce but actively discouraged. As these spaces become more insular, they enable the proliferation of rigid and dogmatic ideologies that can marginalize and silence those who do not conform to the dominant narrative, thereby reinforcing social divisions and fuelling a cycle of exclusion.

Empirical research, including studies conducted by the Pew Research Centre and findings published in the Journal of Mental Health and Digital Culture, underscores the stark reality that heavy reliance on social media and digital platforms is often correlated with heightened levels of loneliness, anxiety, and depression. These studies reveal that while digital platforms ostensibly offer a semblance of connection, the quality of interactions is frequently compromised by the prevalence of transient, impersonal exchanges and the absence of genuine emotional support. Moreover, the cognitive toll of navigating a continuously stimulating digital environment is not to be underestimated. In his influential work, The Shallows, Nicholas Carr eloquently describes how the incessant barrage of digital stimuli can lead to cognitive overload, impairing critical thinking and undermining the capacity for sustained, meaningful engagement. This cognitive overload, fueled by the constant interruptions of notifications, updates, and the need to multitask across multiple digital platforms, further diminishes the ability of individuals to engage in reflective thought and authentic social interaction, thereby exacerbating the sense of isolation and detachment. In this context, the very mechanisms that facilitate digital belonging such as algorithm-driven content personalization and the allure of constant connectivity paradoxically contribute to an environment where individuals become ensnared in cycles of superficial engagement and social isolation. The cumulative impact of these factors is profound, as the digital landscape, despite its promise of fostering global interconnectedness, increasingly resembles a labyrinth of fragmented interactions, where the quest for genuine connection is continually undermined by systemic biases, exclusionary practices, and the relentless pressures of digital comparison.

Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that encompasses both individual and systemic interventions. On the individual level, cultivating mindful engagement practices such as taking regular digital detoxes, curating one's online environment to prioritize meaningful interactions, and actively seeking out diverse perspectives can mitigate some of the negative effects associated with digital isolation. Simultaneously, platform designers and policymakers must strive to implement measures that promote algorithmic transparency, diversify content exposure, and enforce robust community guidelines aimed at curbing gatekeeping and cancel culture practices.

By fostering digital spaces that prioritize inclusivity, authenticity, and mental well-being, it is possible to harness the benefits of digital connectivity while mitigating the risks of exclusion and isolation. Ultimately, the challenge lies in striking a delicate balance between leveraging the power of digital platforms to enhance social connection and safeguarding against the unintended consequences that arise from echo chambers, groupthink, and the pervasive culture of digital loneliness a balance that is essential for nurturing a truly connected and emotionally resilient society in the digital age.

Balancing the Benefits and Risks of Digital Engagement

In today's digital landscape, the benefits of online connectivity are profound ranging from instantaneous access to information and expansive social networks to platforms that facilitate community support and collective activism. However, these advantages are counterbalanced by significant risks, including the erosion of deep personal connections, cognitive overload, and exposure to harmful content. Balancing these benefits and risks requires a multi-pronged approach that includes the promotion of healthy digital practices, robust community moderation and ethical guidelines, and future-oriented strategies leveraging ethical AI and improved online moderation policies.

Healthy digital practices form the cornerstone of mitigating many of the adverse effects associated with digital engagement. Research in digital well-being by the World Health Organization, along with initiatives like Google's Digital Wellbeing reports, emphasize the importance of mindful engagement and regular digital detoxes. Mindful engagement involves actively reflecting on one's digital habits and setting clear boundaries between online and offline life. For instance, users can schedule "offline hours" to disconnect from social media, limit multitasking, and create designated digital-free zones within their daily routine. Such practices are shown to reduce cognitive overload a condition well-documented in studies published in the *Computers in Human Behavior* journal where constant notifications and the pressure to remain perpetually connected impair focus and mental health. Digital detoxes, where individuals intentionally step away from screens for a set period, have been associated with improvements in mood, decreased anxiety levels, and an enhanced sense of personal control. By adopting strategies such as mindfulness meditation, journaling about digital habits, or engaging in offline hobbies, individuals can recalibrate their digital usage, leading to a healthier, more balanced engagement with technology.

Alongside individual practices, community moderation and ethics play a critical role in shaping the online environment. Digital platforms bear a significant responsibility to design systems that minimize harm and foster inclusive, supportive spaces. Effective moderation policies can help reduce the prevalence of harmful content and curb the toxic behaviors that often proliferate in unregulated online communities. Ethical guidelines, when integrated into platform policies, encourage respectful discourse and create clear consequences for behaviors such as harassment, hate speech, or misinformation. For example, platforms that employ a combination of human moderators and AI-driven

content filtering have seen success in mitigating abusive interactions while preserving free expression. The challenge lies in ensuring that these moderation efforts are transparent and balanced; overly draconian measures can lead to censorship and stifle legitimate discourse, whereas lax moderation may allow harmful behaviors to flourish. It is essential for platforms to develop community guidelines that are co-created with their users, ensuring that policies reflect the values of the community and adapt to emerging challenges. By fostering an environment where users are empowered to report issues and engage in constructive dialogue, platforms can enhance digital well-being and build trust among their communities.

Looking toward the future, advances in ethical AI and refined online moderation policies promise to further balance the scales of digital engagement. As artificial intelligence continues to evolve, it offers unprecedented opportunities to develop systems that not only filter content but do so in a way that is fair, unbiased, and respectful of user privacy. Ethical AI frameworks advocate for transparency in how algorithms determine the content users see, thereby reducing the risk of echo chambers and promoting exposure to diverse perspectives. For instance, implementing algorithmic adjustments that prioritize user well-being over engagement metrics could help ensure that digital platforms contribute positively to mental health. Furthermore, integrating sentiment analysis and context-aware moderation tools can help detect and address harmful content more effectively, while also learning from community feedback to continually refine these systems. Research from the *Computers in Human Behavior* journal provides valuable insights into how AI-driven moderation impacts user experience and suggests that collaboration between technologists, ethicists, and social scientists is critical for creating

The convergence of healthy digital practices, robust community moderation, and ethical technological advancement presents a comprehensive strategy for balancing the benefits and risks of digital engagement. While the allure of constant connectivity offers numerous advantages, it is equally important to recognize and address the psychological and social costs associated with digital overuse. By fostering individual mindfulness and instituting systemic safeguards through ethical AI and transparent moderation policies, we can create an online environment that supports personal growth, enhances social connection, and protects mental health

Exposing to the Legal Nitty-Gritty

The legal landscape surrounding digital belonging is as complex and multifaceted as the digital interactions themselves. As online communities have grown to become the modern public square, they have attracted a host of legal challenges and considerations that span data privacy, free speech, platform liability, and regulatory compliance. At the core of these legal intricacies is the tension between protecting individual rights and preserving the open, dynamic nature of digital communication.

One of the primary legal concerns is data privacy. Digital platforms collect vast amounts of personal data from their users, ranging from basic identification information to detailed behavioral analytics. Legislations such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA)

represent significant legal efforts to safeguard users' personal information. These regulations impose strict requirements on how data is collected, stored, and processed, ensuring that users have control over their personal information. However, while such measures increase user trust and protect privacy, they also introduce complexities for both platforms and researchers. The stringent data protection requirements can sometimes limit the scope of academic research or impede platforms' ability to innovate, as they must navigate a labyrinth of compliance obligations and potential penalties for data breaches.

Alongside data privacy, the issue of free speech is paramount. Digital platforms serve as arenas for public discourse, where the expression of diverse ideas is both encouraged and expected. Legal frameworks in many democratic societies enshrine free speech as a fundamental right, making it difficult for authorities to impose content restrictions without infringing on constitutional freedoms. Yet, this protection can become problematic when it provides cover for harmful behaviors such as hate speech, cyberbullying, and harassment. Platforms and regulators are continually grappling with the challenge of balancing free expression against the need to protect vulnerable individuals and groups from abuse. In practice, this balance is maintained through a combination of legal standards and community guidelines, though the line between permissible speech and harmful content often remains blurred. The legal debates in this arena are further complicated by differences in national laws what is considered hate speech in one country may be protected as free speech in another forcing global platforms to adopt multifaceted moderation strategies that comply with diverse legal standards.

Platform liability represents another significant legal challenge. In the United States, Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act provides immunity to online platforms for content posted by their users, effectively shielding them from legal responsibility for third-party speech. This provision has been fundamental in the growth of online communities, as it allows platforms to host user-generated content without the constant threat of lawsuits. However, as online spaces become more central to public discourse and digital belonging, critics argue that Section 230 also enables platforms to shirk accountability for the spread of harmful content. In response, there have been increasing calls for reform or repeal of this legal protection, with proposals suggesting that platforms should take greater responsibility for moderating content and preventing the dissemination of misinformation and abuse. Globally, different jurisdictions are also exploring the boundaries of platform liability, with the European Union's Digital Services Act (DSA) aiming to impose stricter requirements on digital platforms to ensure accountability and transparency in content moderation.

The legal intricacies extend into the realm of algorithmic transparency and digital fairness. Modern digital platforms rely heavily on algorithms to curate content and shape user experiences. These algorithms determine what information is presented to users, which in turn influences their perceptions and behaviours. Concerns have been raised about the opaque nature of these algorithms and their potential to reinforce biases, contribute to echo chambers, and even foster exclusionary practices. Legal and regulatory bodies are increasingly scrutinizing the role of algorithms in digital environments, advocating for greater transparency

and accountability. The concept of "explainable AI" is emerging as a potential solution, wherein platforms would be required to disclose how their algorithms operate and the rationale behind content curation decisions. Such transparency could help mitigate issues of algorithmic bias and ensure that digital spaces remain open and inclusive. Yet, achieving this balance poses significant technical and legal challenges, as it involves navigating the trade-offs between proprietary technologies and the public's right to understand how digital content is curated.

Furthermore, digital communities have given rise to legal questions regarding moderation and the enforcement of community standards. While platforms have developed internal policies to manage harmful behavior, these moderation practices often spark legal controversies. Users who are banned or "cancelled" may challenge these decisions on the grounds of unfair treatment or censorship, prompting legal debates over the extent to which private companies can regulate speech on their platforms. In some cases, legal action has been taken against platforms for alleged bias in content moderation, highlighting the tension between corporate discretion and user rights. Courts are now tasked with interpreting the limits of platform power in an environment where digital belonging is both celebrated and contested.

Key Findings

Digital communities have become an essential aspect of modern life, fostering a strong sense of belonging and identity. These spaces allow individuals to connect based on shared interests, experiences, and ideologies, creating an environment where people feel understood and accepted. According to Social Identity Theory, individuals derive part of their self-concept from the groups they belong to, and digital communities provide a platform for self-expression and validation. For many marginalized groups, online spaces serve as safe havens where they can engage in discussions without fear of judgment. Whether through Reddit forums, Discord servers, or Twitter fandoms, these communities help individuals find companionship, emotional support, and shared purpose. Empirical studies indicate that being part of an online group can significantly enhance self-esteem, reinforce social identity, and contribute to emotional well-being. However, while digital spaces foster inclusivity, they also present challenges, such as exclusionary practices and the development of rigid group norms.

One notable aspect of digital belonging is the rise of parasocial relationships, which are one-sided emotional bonds that individuals form with online personalities, celebrities, or influencers. These relationships can be beneficial, providing emotional comfort, motivation, and a sense of companionship, particularly for those who experience loneliness or social anxiety. Many people engage with YouTube creators, streamers, or K-pop idols as though they were close friends, despite the lack of reciprocal interaction. Research has shown that these relationships can simulate real-life social bonds, making individuals feel connected and understood. However, the downside of parasocial relationships lies in their potential to foster dependency, unrealistic expectations, and emotional distress. When individuals invest too much in these one-sided relationships, they may experience anxiety or disappointment when the content creator fails to meet their

personal expectations. Foundational studies on parasocial interactions, such as those by Horton and Wohl (1956), have long documented this duality, and more recent research continues to highlight both the benefits and risks associated with these relationships.

Despite the constant availability of digital interactions, many individuals paradoxically experience heightened feelings of loneliness and isolation. While the internet provides endless opportunities for connection, not all digital interactions lead to meaningful social bonds. The superficial nature of many online exchanges such as brief social media interactions or passive content consumption often leaves users feeling disconnected despite frequent engagement. Social media, in particular, fosters an environment where individuals compare their lives to idealized portrayals of others, which can contribute to feelings of inadequacy and loneliness. Research has consistently found that excessive social media use correlates with increased reports of loneliness, depression, and dissatisfaction with real-life relationships. The paradox of digital connection and isolation suggests that while online platforms offer spaces for socialization, they do not always fulfill deeper psychological and emotional needs.

In addition to fostering belonging, digital communities can also engage in exclusionary practices, reinforcing in-group bias and discouraging diverse perspectives. While many online spaces pride themselves on being inclusive, they often develop strict norms that determine who belongs and who does not. Echo chambers, where individuals are only exposed to viewpoints that align with their preexisting beliefs, limit meaningful discourse and reinforce ideological divides. The algorithm-driven nature of social media platforms exacerbates this phenomenon by curating content that aligns with users' past interactions, thereby reinforcing existing biases. Additionally, gatekeeping and cancel culture have become prevalent issues in digital communities. Individuals who challenge group norms or express differing opinions often face backlash, ostracization, or even harassment. While these mechanisms sometimes serve to hold people accountable, they can also stifle open discussion and discourage critical thinking. Empirical evidence from digital sociology indicates that while many individuals find support and validation in online spaces, others experience social ostracization and cyberbullying, highlighting the exclusionary nature of digital belonging.

The psychological impact of digital engagement largely depends on usage patterns. While moderate and intentional engagement with online communities can enhance well-being, excessive and unhealthy engagement often leads to negative psychological effects. Balanced participation in digital spaces allows individuals to form supportive networks, access helpful resources, and engage in meaningful discussions. However, excessive use particularly passive scrolling, compulsive social media checking, or constant engagement with online drama can contribute to anxiety, depression, and a diminished sense of real-life connection. Research on digital well-being suggests that individuals who use social media primarily for social comparison or validation-seeking behaviors are more likely to experience negative mental health outcomes. On the other hand, those who engage with digital spaces to seek support, learn, or build genuine connections tend to experience more positive effects. These findings suggest that it is not merely the act of participating in digital communities that impacts

well-being, but rather how and why individuals engage with these spaces.

To mitigate the risks associated with digital belonging, adopting strategies for healthy digital engagement is essential. Digital literacy programs, mental health awareness initiatives, and mindful engagement practices can help individuals develop healthier relationships with online spaces. Recognizing the signs of unhealthy online behavior such as social media addiction, excessive parasocial involvement, or engagement in toxic online interactions allows users to set boundaries and prioritize their well-being. Additionally, platforms play a crucial role in shaping healthier digital environments through ethical community moderation, improved platform design, and policy enforcement.

Conclusion

Digital communities have become an essential aspect of modern life, fostering a strong sense of belonging and identity. These spaces allow individuals to connect based on shared interests, experiences, and ideologies, creating an environment where people feel understood and accepted. According to Social Identity Theory, individuals derive part of their self-concept from the groups they belong to, and digital communities provide a platform for self-expression and validation. For many marginalized groups, online spaces serve as safe havens where they can engage in discussions without fear of judgment. Whether through Reddit forums, Discord servers, or Twitter fandoms, these communities help individuals find companionship, emotional support, and shared purpose. Empirical studies indicate that being part of an online group can significantly enhance self-esteem, reinforce social identity, and contribute to emotional well-being. However, while digital spaces foster inclusivity, they also present challenges, such as exclusionary practices and the development of rigid group norms.

One notable aspect of digital belonging is the rise of parasocial relationships, which are one-sided emotional bonds that individuals form with online personalities, celebrities, or influencers. These relationships can be beneficial, providing emotional comfort, motivation, and a sense of companionship, particularly for those who experience loneliness or social anxiety. Many people engage with YouTube creators, streamers, or K-pop idols as though they were close friends, despite the lack of reciprocal interaction. Research has shown that these relationships can simulate real-life social bonds, making individuals feel connected and understood. However, the downside of parasocial relationships lies in their potential to foster dependency, unrealistic expectations, and emotional distress. When individuals invest too much in these one-sided relationships, they may experience anxiety or disappointment when the content creator fails to meet their personal expectations. Foundational studies on parasocial interactions, such as those by Horton and Wohl (1956), have long documented this duality, and more recent research continues to highlight both the benefits and risks associated with these relationships.

Despite the constant availability of digital interactions, many individuals paradoxically experience heightened feelings of loneliness and isolation. While the internet provides endless opportunities for connection, not all digital interactions lead to meaningful social bonds. The superficial nature of many online exchanges such as brief social media interactions or passive content consumption often leaves users feeling disconnected despite frequent engagement. Social media, in particular, fosters an environment where individuals compare their lives to idealized portrayals of others, which can contribute to feelings of inadequacy and loneliness. Research has consistently found that excessive social media use correlates with increased reports of loneliness, depression, and dissatisfaction with real-life relationships. The paradox of digital connection and isolation suggests that while online platforms offer spaces for socialization, they do not always fulfill deeper psychological and emotional needs.

In addition to fostering belonging, digital communities can also engage in exclusionary practices, reinforcing in-group bias and discouraging diverse perspectives. While many online spaces pride themselves on being inclusive, they often develop strict norms that determine who belongs and who does not. Echo chambers, where individuals are only exposed to viewpoints that align with their preexisting beliefs, limit meaningful discourse and reinforce ideological divides. The algorithm-driven nature of social media platforms exacerbates this phenomenon by curating content that aligns with users' past interactions, thereby reinforcing existing biases. Additionally, gatekeeping and cancel culture have become prevalent issues in digital communities. Individuals who challenge group norms or express differing opinions often face backlash, ostracization, or even harassment. While these mechanisms sometimes serve to hold people accountable, they can also stifle open discussion and discourage critical thinking. Empirical evidence from digital sociology indicates that while many individuals find support and validation in online spaces, others experience social ostracization and cyberbullying, highlighting the exclusionary nature of digital belonging.

The psychological impact of digital engagement largely depends on usage patterns. While moderate and intentional engagement with online communities can enhance well-being, excessive and unhealthy engagement often leads to negative psychological effects. Balanced participation in digital spaces allows individuals to form supportive networks, access helpful resources, and engage in meaningful discussions. However, excessive use particularly passive scrolling, compulsive social media checking, or constant engagement with online drama can contribute to anxiety, depression, and a diminished sense of real-life connection. Research on digital well-being suggests that individuals who use social media primarily for social comparison or validation-seeking behaviors are more likely to experience negative mental health outcomes. On the other hand, those who engage with digital spaces to seek support, learn, or build genuine connections tend to experience more positive effects. These findings suggest that it is not merely the act of participating in digital communities that impacts well-being, but rather how and why individuals engage with these spaces.

To mitigate the risks associated with digital belonging, adopting strategies for healthy digital engagement is essential. Digital literacy programs, mental health awareness initiatives, and mindful engagement practices can help individuals develop healthier relationships with online spaces. Recognizing the signs of unhealthy online behavior such as social media addiction, excessive parasocial involvement, or engagement in toxic online interactions

allows users to set boundaries and prioritize their well-being. Additionally, platforms play a crucial role in shaping healthier digital environments through ethical community moderation, improved platform design, and policy enforcement. Features such as limiting algorithmic reinforcement of echo chambers, implementing stricter measures against online harassment, and promoting digital well-being initiatives can contribute to safer and more inclusive digital spaces. Industry leaders, including Google's Digital Wellbeing initiative, have already introduced tools to help users monitor and regulate their screen time, emphasizing the importance of conscious digital consumption.

Ultimately, while digital communities provide unparalleled opportunities for connection, self-expression, and support, they also pose significant challenges that require careful management. The key to harnessing the benefits of online engagement while mitigating its risks lies in fostering balanced participation, promoting inclusivity, and ensuring that digital spaces prioritize mental well-being. As digital belonging continues to evolve, both individuals and platforms must take proactive steps to create healthier online environments, ensuring that digital connection enhances rather than diminishes human interaction.

References

1. Lin Y. Social media for collaborative planning: a typology of support functions and challenges. Cities. 2022;125:103641. https://DOI.org/10.1016/j.cities.2022.103641

2. Qureshi S. Digital transformation at the margins: a battle for the soul of self-sovereignty. Inf Technol Dev. 2022;28(2):215-229. https://DOI.org/10.1080/02681102.2022.2062291

3. Haim-Litevsky D, *et al*. Sense of belonging, meaningful daily life participation, and well-being: integrated investigation. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023;20(5):4121. https://DOI.org/10.3390/ijerph20054121

4. Scheffer J. Digital and digitised space as an opportunity for advancement. In: Mirrored Spaces. Geographies of Media. Wiesbaden: Palgrave Macmillan; 2024. p. 45-68. https://DOI.org/10.1007/978-3-658-42793-1_3

5. Hossain KI. Reviewing the role of culture in English language learning: challenges and opportunities for educators. Soc Sci Humanit Open. 2024;9:100781. https://DOI.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100781

6. Fuschillo G. Fans, fandoms, or fanaticism? J Consum Cult. 2020;20(3):347-365. https://DOI.org/10.1177/1469540518773822

7. Yu J, Bekerian DA, Osback C. Navigating the digital landscape: challenges and barriers to effective information use on the internet. Encyclopedia. 2024;4(4):1665-1680. https://DOI.org/10.3390/encyclopedia4040109

8. Turkle S. Alone together: why we expect more from technology and less from each other. New York: Basic Books; 2010.

9. Sillence E, Baber C. Integrated digital communities: combining web-based interaction with text messaging to develop a system for encouraging group communication and competition. Interact Comput. 2004;16(1):93-113. https://DOI.org/10.1016/j.intcom.2003.11.007

10. Candiotto L. Extended loneliness: when hyperconnectivity makes us feel alone. Ethics Inf Technol. 2022;24(4):47-58. https://DOI.org/10.1007/s10676-022-09669-4

11. Kim MS, *et al*. Effects of online fan community interactions on well-being and sense of virtual community. Behav Sci (Basel). 2023;13(11):897. https://DOI.org/10.3390/bs13110897

12. The privacy paradox in the context of online social networking: a self-identity perspective. J Assoc Inf Sci Technol. 2018;70(1):1-12. https://DOI.org/10.1002/asi.24113

13. Jiang JA, Nie P, Brubaker JR, Fiesler C. A trade-off-centered framework of content moderation. ACM Trans Comput Hum Interact. 2023;30(1):3:1-34. https://DOI.org/10.1145/3534929

14. Dwivedi YK, Hughes L, Baabdullah AM, Ribeiro-Navarrete S, Giannakis M, Al-Debei MM, *et al*. Metaverse beyond the hype: multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. Int J Inf Manag. 2022;66:102542. https://DOI.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102542

15. Lotun S, *et al*. People perceive parasocial relationships to be effective at fulfilling emotional needs. Sci Rep. 2024;14(1):8185. https://DOI.org/10.1038/s41598-024-58069-9

16. Liebers N, Schramm H. Parasocial interactions and relationships with media characters: an inventory of 60 years of research. Commun Res Trends. 2019;38(2):3-25.

17. McGuire M, Perna LW. Connecting policymakers with academic research to inform public policy. Change Mag High Learn. 2023;55(6):15-20. https://DOI.org/10.1080/00091383.2023.2263188

18. Campione R. The legal-digital metamorphosis of the individual. Philosophies. 2025;10(1):2. https://DOI.org/10.3390/philosophies10010002

19. Abernethy A, *et al*. The promise of digital health: then, now, and the future. NAM Perspect. 2022;10:e202206e. https://DOI.org/10.31478/202206e

20. Abstracts. Psychol Rep. 2025;128(1_suppl):12-227. https://DOI.org/10.1177/00332941251315223

21. Supra 16

22. Wang CY, Bi K. Exploring the Influence of the Dark Triad on Indirect Cyber Aggression: A Longitudinal Study of a Taiwanese Sample. Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw. 2025;28(2):105-111. DOI:10.1089/cyber.2024.0303. Available from: https://www.liebertpub.com/DOI/abs/10.1089/cyber.2024.0303.

23. Anderson J, Rainie L. The Future of Digital Spaces and Their Role in Democracy: Many Experts Say Public Online Spaces Will Significantly Improve by 2035 If Reformers, Big Technology Firms, Governments and Activists Tackle the Problems Created by Misinformation, Disinformation and Toxic Discourse. Others Expect Continuing Troubles as Digital Tools And Forums Are Used to Exploit People's Frailties, Stoke Their Rage and Drive Them Apart. Pew Research Center; 2021. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep57316. Accessed 26 Feb 2025.

24. Swaminathan V, Sorescu A, Steenkamp JBEM, O'Guinn TCG, Schmitt B. Branding in a Hyperconnected World: Refocusing Theories and Rethinking Boundaries. J Mark. 2020;84(2):24-46. DOI:10.1177/0022242919899905.

25. Roh YC. Fan culture, technology, and the parasocial: How the K-Pop industry advances relations between celebrities and fans [MA thesis]. Faculty of Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, Georgetown University; 2025. Available from: https://repository.digital.georgetown.edu/handle/10822/1088588.

26. Kaye LK, Kowert R, Quinn S. The role of social identity and online social capital on psychosocial outcomes in MMO players. Comput Hum Behav. 2017;74:215-223. DOI:10.1016/j.chb.2017.04.030.

27. Hui E, Singh S, Lin PKF, Dillon D. Social Media Influence on Emerging Adults' Prosocial Behavior: A Systematic Review. Basic Appl Soc Psychol. 2024;46(4):239-265. DOI:10.1080/01973533.2024.2342396.

28. Ravi K, Vela AE. RICo: Reddit ideological communities. Online Soc Netw Media. 2024;42:100279. DOI:10.1016/j.osnem.2024.100279.

29. Lee J, Abidin C. Introduction to the Special Issue of "TikTok and Social Movements". Soc Media Soc. 2023;9. DOI:10.1177/20563051231157452.

30. Dwivedi YK, Ismagilova E, Hughes DL, Carlson J, Filieri R, Jacobson J, et al. Setting the future of digital and social media marketing research: Perspectives and research propositions. Int J Inf Manag. 2021;59:102168. DOI:10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102168.

31. Ó Baoill A. Jenkins H. Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide. New York: New York University Press; 2006. 336 pp. Review: Social Sci Comput Rev. 2008;26(2):252-254. DOI:10.1177/0894439307306088.

32. Alam A, Mohanty A. Educational technology: Exploring the convergence of technology and pedagogy through mobility, interactivity, AI, and learning tools. Cogent Eng. 2023;10(2). DOI:10.1080/23311916.2023.2283282.

33. Tajfel H, Turner JC. An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In: Austin WG, Worchel S, editors. The social psychology of intergroup relations. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole; 1979. p. 33-37.

34. Barikzai S, Bharathi SV, Perdana A. Digital learning initiatives forging inclusive education in post-conflict nations. Cogent Educ. 2025;12(1). DOI:10.1080/2331186X.2025.2457904.

35. Hutmacher F, Appel M. The Psychology of Personalization in Digital Environments: From Motivation to Well-Being - A Theoretical Integration. Rev Gen Psychol. 2023;27(1):26-40. DOI:10.1177/10892680221105663.

36. Gkintoni E, Antonopoulou H, Sortwell A, Halkiopoulos C. Challenging Cognitive Load Theory: The Role of Educational Neuroscience and Artificial Intelligence in Redefining Learning Efficacy. Brain Sci. 2025;15(2):203. DOI:10.3390/brainsci15020203.

37. Supra-34

38. Supra 12

39. Supra 20

40. Smith D, Leonis T, Anandavalli S. Belonging and loneliness in cyberspace: Impacts of social media on adolescents' well-being. Aust J Psychol. 2021;73(1):12-23. DOI:10.1080/00049530.2021.1898914.

41. High AC, Ruppel EK, McEwan B, Caughlin JP. Computer-Mediated Communication and Well-Being in the Age of Social Media: A Systematic Review. J Soc Pers Relat. 2023;40(2):420-458. DOI:10.1177/02654075221106449.

42. Shahzad MF, Xu S, Lim WM, Yang X, Khan QR. Artificial intelligence and social media on academic performance and mental well-being: Student perceptions of positive impact in the age of smart learning. Heliyon. 2024;10(8):e29523. DOI:10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29523.

43. Kgatla B. From Activism to Influence: Unpacking the Romanticisation of Mental Illness Online. Soc Health Sci. 2024. DOI:10.25159/2957-3645/12408.

44. Supra 36

45. Supra 10

46. Skarpenes O, Sakslind R. Education and Egalitarianism: The Culture of the Norwegian Middle Class. Sociol Rev. 2010;58(2):219-243. DOI:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2010.01901.x.

47. Ancillai C, et al. The role of online communities in shaping the society 5.0 paradigm: A Social Capital Perspective. Eur J Innov Manag. [no date]. Available from: https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/DOI/10.1108/ejim-02-2024-0168/full/html. Accessed 27 Feb 2025.

48. Tetteh PK, Kankam PK. The role of social media in information dissemination to improve youth interactions. Cogent Soc Sci. 2024;10(1). DOI:10.1080/23311886.2024.2334480.

49. Alodat AM, Al-Qora'n LF, Abu Hamoud M. Social Media Platforms and Political Participation: A Study of Jordanian Youth Engagement. Soc Sci. 2023;12(7):402. DOI:10.3390/socsci12070402.

50. Supra 35

51. Supra 12

52. Jenkins H. Fandom, Negotiation, and Participatory Culture. 2018. DOI:10.1002/9781119237211.ch1.

53. Supra 36

54. Omar A, Ondimu K. The Impact of Social Media on Society: A Systematic Literature Review. 2024;3:96-106. DOI:10.9790/1813-130696106.

55. Supra 26

56. Pirutinsky S, Mancuso A. Who Are We? Social Identity and Psychological Well-being. Graduate Student J Psychol. 2011;13:39-44. DOI:10.52214/gsjp.v13i.10852.

57. Horton D, Wohl RR. Mass Communication and Para-Social Interaction: Observations on Intimacy at a Distance. Psychiatry. 1956;19(3):215-229. DOI:10.1080/00332747.1956.11023049.

58. -, S, -, D. Going Beyond the Screen - Exploring Para-social Relationships with Anime Characters amongst the Anime Community. Int J Multidiscip Res. 2024;6(2). DOI:10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i02.15255.

59. Sajan S, Kavalam T, Baruah R, Naik S, Mathew A. Exploring Parasocial Relationships in Committed Individuals. 2024;8:13-23.

60. Rodrigo-Ginés FJ, Carrillo-de-Albornoz J, Plaza L. A systematic review on media bias detection: What is

media bias, how it is expressed, and how to detect it. Expert Syst Appl. 2024;237(Part C):121641. DOI:10.1016/j.eswa.2023.121641.

61. Supra 58

62. Richter V, Ye Z. Influencers' Instagram imaginaries as a global phenomenon: Negotiating precarious interdependencies on followers, the platform environment, and commercial expectations. Convergence. 2024;30(1):642-658. DOI:10.1177/13548565231178918.

63. Su BC, Wu LW, Wu JP. Exploring the Characteristics of YouTubers and Their Influence on Viewers' Purchase Intention: A Viewers' Pseudo-Social Interaction Perspective. Sustainability. 2023;15(1):550. DOI:10.3390/su15010550.

64. Portz J, Moore S, Bull S. Evolutionary Trends in the Adoption, Adaptation, and Abandonment of Mobile Health Technologies: Viewpoint Based on 25 Years of Research. J Med Internet Res. 2024;26:e62790. Available from: https://www.jmir.org/2024/1/e62790. DOI:10.2196/62790.

65. Milman D, Mills D. The relationship between parasocial friendship quality with non-playable video game characters, gaming motivations, and obsessive vs harmonious passion. Telemat Inform Rep. 2023;10:100057. DOI:10.1016/j.teler.2023.100057.

66. Educational Publishing Foundation. Psychology of popular media culture. Washington, DC: Educational Publishing Foundation of the American Psychological Association; 2012.

67. Supra 66

68. Pfeifer JH, Berkman ET. The Development of Self and Identity in Adolescence: Neural Evidence and Implications for a Value-Based Choice Perspective on Motivated Behavior. Child Dev Perspect. 2018;12(3):158-164. DOI:10.1111/cdep.12279.

69. Gleason TR, et al. Parasocial Interactions and Relationships in Early Adolescence. Front Psychol. 2017;8:255. DOI:10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00255.

70. Supra 70

71. Welch V, et al. Digital interventions to reduce social isolation and loneliness in older adults: An evidence and gap map. Campbell Syst Rev. 2023;19(4):e1369. DOI:10.1002/cl2.1369.

72. Bonsaksen T, et al. Associations between social media use and loneliness in a cross-national population: do motives for social media use matter? Health Psychol Behav Med. 2023;11(1):2158089. DOI:10.1080/21642850.2022.2158089.

73. Su BC, Wu LW, Chang YYC, Hong RH. Influencers on Social Media as References: Understanding the Importance of Parasocial Relationships. Sustainability. 2021;13(19):10919. DOI:10.3390/su131910919.

74. Supra 20

75. Leary MR. Emotional responses to interpersonal rejection. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2015;17(4):435-441. DOI:10.31887/DCNS.2015.17.4/mleary.

76. Supra 59

77. Supra 59

78. Reinikainen H, Tan TM, Luoma-aho V, Salo J. Making and breaking relationships on social media: the impacts of brand and influencer betrayals. Technol Forecast Soc Change. 2021;171:120990. DOI:10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120990.